

## UNITED STATES DÉPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

**Patent and Trademark Office** 

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS

Washington, D.C. 20231

FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. **FILING DATE** ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. J 08/970,889 11/14/97 **BERGEN** SAR12228 **EXAMINER** Г LM01/0313 WILLIAM J BURKE PADMANABHAN, M LAW AND PATENT OPERATION **ART UNIT** PAPER NUMBER SARNOFF CORPORATION CN 201 WASHINGTON ROAD 5300 2772 PRINCETON NJ 08543-5300 **DATE MAILED:** 

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

03/13/00

## **Advisory Action**

Application No.

08/970,889

Applicant(s)

Russell et al.

Examiner

Mano Padmanabhan

Group Art Unit 2772

THE PERIOD FOR RESPONSE: [check only a) or b)]	
a) 🛛 expires3 months from the mailing date of	f the final rejection.
<ul> <li>expires either three months from the mailing date of is later. In no event, however, will the statutory peri rejection.</li> </ul>	the final rejection, or on the mailing date of this Advisory Action, whichever iod for the response expire later than six months from the date of the final
determining the period of extension and the corresponding an calculated from the date of the originally set shortened statut	
Appellant's Brief is due two months from the date of period for response set forth above, whichever is late	the Notice of Appeal filed on (or within any er). See 37 CFR 1.191(d) and 37 CFR 1.192(a).
Applicant's response to the final rejection, filed on <u>Feb 28, 2000</u> has been considered with the following effect, but is <b>NOT</b> deemed to place the application in condition for allowance:	
☐ The proposed amendment(s):	
will be entered upon filing of a Notice of Appeal a	and an Appeal Brief.
☐ will not be entered because:	
they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search. (See note below).
they raise the issue of new matter. (See note	
they are not deemed to place the application i issues for appeal.	n better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the
they present additional claims without cancelli	ng a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.
NOTE:	
☐ Applicant's response has overcome the following	rejection(s):
Newly proposed or amended claims separate, timely filed amendment cancelling the non-	would be allowable if submitted in a allowable claims.
The affidavit, exhibit or request for reconsideration h for allowance because: <u>See Attached</u>	as been considered but does NOT place the application in condition
The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becauthe Examiner in the final rejection.	use it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by
For purposes of Appeal, the status of the claims is as Claims allowed:	·
Claims rejected: 1-26	
	has has not been approved by the Examiner.
☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(	s), PTO-1449, Paper No(s).
Other	
Other Mark Zymn	
	MARK K. ZIMMERMAN PRIMARY EXAMINER

Art Unit: 2772

## Response to Arguments

Page 2

1. Applicant's arguments filed on 2/28/2000 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Anderson teaches layered representation for image coding wherein all but the dynamic object in the foreground may be joined to form a background image mosaic, and, for example the ball traveling in the foreground associates a plurality of foreground images with a background image. Yeo teaches key frame as the first frame occurring in a segment, similar to the background mosaic image being the first frame in the sequence.